Fire Line Con Day 2014 AUG 18 A 10: 54 LS. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHISAN DETROIT

In re	Chapter 9	
City of Detroit,	Case No. 13-5384	16
Debtor	Hon.: Steven W.	Rhodes
	1	

MOTION TOALLOW PARTICIPATION AT TRIAL REGARDING CONFIRMATION OF DEBTOR'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

NOW COMES, Creditor Dennis Taubitz in pro per and for his Motion to Allow Participation At trial Regarding Confirmation of Debtor's Plan of Adjustment states as follows:

- This Creditor never agreed to be represented by the "Retiree Committee", contrary to 1. Debtor's fraudulent representation.
- Creditor filed timely Objections to Debtor's eligibility and to Debtor's Plan of 2. Adjustment, including the Debtor's Fourth and Fifth Amended Plan of Adjustment of the Debts of the City of Detroit.
- Also, Creditor filed a Motion to Participate in any negotiation or mediation that sought to 3. impair this Creditor's rights and interest.
- 4. This Court, however, improperly denied this Creditor's Motion to Participate in the eligibility trial and in the following negotiations and mediation which resulted in some creditors settling with the Debtor.

- This Court improperly denied the due process rights of the Creditor by disallowing
 Creditor from participating in the trial, negotiations and mediations.
- 6. Indeed, this Court's violation of the Creditor's due process rights continues. This Court entered its "Order Regarding Participation at the Confirmation Hearing by Creditors Who Filed Objections Without an Attorney" dated August 7, 2014; and this Creditor did not receive the Order until several days later. The Court's requirement that a motion to participate in the Confirmation Hearing be filed by August 18, 2014 does not constitute fair notice. This Court has repeatedly sought to move this case quickly to the detriment of the due process rights of the Creditor.
- 7. Further paragraph 3 of this Court's Order places undue restriction upon the creditors.
- 8. This Court seeks to discriminate against in pro per creditors as creditors represented by counsel do not have to file a motion in order to participate in the Confirmation Hearing.
- 9. This Court also states in its Order "In an effort to address both the rights of those objecting creditors to be heard and the necessity of orderly process". This is another clear indication that this Court is continuing to ignore the basic fundamental legal concept of due process. Clearly, It is the ability of the Creditor to have fair notice and a fair hearing before a competent tribunal that is important. It does not matter if by providing the Creditor's with due process, a less than orderly process results.
- 10. Additionally, this Court in its Order states: "It is hereby ordered that the following procedures shall apply to any such Creditor's request to present evidence at the Confirmation Hearing by either (1) presenting his or her own witness (including himself or herself) or (2) questioning other parties or witnesses". Creditor submits that creditors

- are indeed parties to this litigation, and as a party have the absolute right to testify as their own witness and to question any other witness.
- 11. This Creditor submits that the Court's Order in question should be held null and void as it violates the due process rights of the creditors.
- 12. Creditor, without waiving any of its objections to the order in question submits the following in his Motion to Allow Participation at Trial Regarding Confirmation of the Debtor's Plan of Adjustment:
- 12a. See Creditor's Witness List.
- 12b. The Debtor's Plan is not Confirmable.
- 12c. As Creditor has no idea how long the cross examination of the witnesses will take place, Creditor is unable to specify the length of time each testimony will take.
- 12d. In light of the Court's failure to ensure due process, this Creditor is unable to determine what evidence other creditors may choose to utilize and therefore whether it is duplicative. However, this Court should be aware that each creditor is a party to this action and due process requires that a party be allowed to present evidence that they deem important and relevant to the case. The fact that the evidence may be duplicative is irrelevant.
- 12e. See Creditor's Exhibit List.
- 13. This Creditor submits that this Creditor and any other creditor who desires must be allowed to participate in the confirmation hearing due to the requirement of due process.
- 14. This Creditor will submit a trial brief prior to the commencement of the Confirmation Hearing.

WHEREFORE, Creditor Dennis Taubitz prays that this Court grant Creditor's Motion to Allow Participation at Trial Regarding Confirmation of the Debtor's Plan of Adjustment.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Taubitz

In Pro Per

Creditor

3051 Lindenwood Drive

Dearborn, MI 48120

(313) 632-9150

In re	Chapter 9
City of Detroit,	Case No. 13-53846
Debtor	Hon.: Steven W. Rhode

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

Due process consists of fair notice and a fair hearing before a competent tribunal.

This Creditor submits that a fair hearing is a hearing that one is allowed to fully participate in. Conversely, the Creditor submits that if one is not allowed to participate, the hearing must be deemed unfair.

This Creditor submits that his due process rights are being violated and will continue to be violated until he is allowed to participate in the Debtor's trial regarding the Confirmation hearing.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, this Creditor respectfully prays that this Honorable Court grant Creditor's Motion to Allow Participation at Trial Regarding Confirmation of the Debtor's Plan of Adjustment.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Taubitz In Pro Per Creditor

3051 Lindenwood Drive Dearborn, MI 48120

(313) 632-9150

In re City o	f Detroit, Michigan Debtor/	Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Hon.: Steven W. Rhodes	
	WITNESS	LIST	
	NOW COMES, Creditor Dennis Taubitz in	pro per and for his Witness List states as	
follow	s:		
1.	Kevyn Orr.		
2.	Michael Duggan.		
3.	Judge Gerald Rosen.		
4.	Brenda Jones.		
5.	Keeper of the Record, Detroit Institute of Arts.		
6.	Keeper of the Record, City of Detroit.		
7.	Kathleen Leavey.		
8.	Michael Karowski.		
9.	Terri Renshaw.		
10.	Thomas Sheehan.		
11.	Patrick Murray.		

12.

13.

James Edwards.

Thomas Cippolone.

- 14. Mary Beth Cobbs.
- 15. Irma Industrious.
- 16. Dennis Taubitz.
- 17. Any Witness listed by Debtor.
- 18. Any witness listed by any other creditor.
- 19. Any necessary rebuttal witness.
- Keeper of the Record Board of Trustee of the City of Detroit General Retirement System,
 including but not limited to Virginia Sikora.
- 21. Peter Macuga.
- 22. Any present or former Treasurer of the City of Detroit.

The testimony of each witness will concern the validity of Debtor's annuity savings recoupment and the confirmability of the Plan of Adjustment.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Taubitz

In Pro Per

Creditor

3051 Lindenwood Drive,

Dearborn, MI 48120

(313) 460-4731

In re	Chapter 9	
City of Detroit, Michigan	Case No. 13-53840	3
Debtor	Hon.: Steven W. R	thodes
	/	

EXHIBIT LIST

NOW COMES, Creditor Dennis Taubitz in pro per and for his Exhibit List states as

follows:

- 1. Debtor's Petition for Bankruptcy.
- 2. Debtor's Fourth Amended Plan of Adjustment.
- 3. Debtor's Fifth Amended Plan of Adjustment.
- 4. Any other Plan of Adjustment the Debtor may file.
- 5. Creditor's voting ballot and material supplied with the ballot.
- 6. State of Michigan Constitution.
- 7. Audit report of the City of Detroit General Retirement System.
- 8. Any other evidence presented by any other party in relation to the Confirmation Hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Taubitz

In Pro Per

Creditor

3051 Lindenwood Drive,

Dearborn, MI 48120

(313) 460-4731

Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Hon.: Steven W. Rhodes

ORDER GRANTING CREDITOR'S MOTION TO PARTICIPATE AT TRIAL REGARDING THE CONFIRMATION OF DEBTOR'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT

It is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that Creditor Dennis Taubitz's Motion to Participate at Trial Regarding the Confirmation of Debtor's Plan of Adjustment is hereby GRANTED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that Creditor Dennis Taubitz shall be provided with written notice sent by U.S. mail of any pleadings, briefs, exhibits or other documents related to the Confirmation Hearing.

BANKRUPTCY JUDGE Steven W. Rhodes

Trans Land Comment

2014 AUG 18 A 10: 54

In re		Chapter 9 J.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT Case No. 13-53846 E.D. MICHIGARY DETROIT
City of Detroit,		Case No. 13-53846 ^{E.D. MR. R. R. R. L. C. C.}
Debtor		Hon.: Steven W. Rhodes
	/	

NOTICE OF MOTION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CONFIRMATION HEARING

<u>Creditor Dennis Taubitz</u> has filed papers with the court a Motion to Allow Participation at Trail Regarding Confirmation of Debtor's Plan of Adjustment.

<u>Your rights may be affected.</u> You should read these papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney, if you have one in this bankruptcy case. (If you do not have an attorney, you may wish to consult one.)

If you do not want the court to grant the Motion to Allow Participation at Trail Regarding Confirmation of Debtor's Plan of Adjustment, or if you want the court to consider your views on the Motion to Allow Participation at Trail Regarding Confirmation of Debtor's Plan of Adjustment, then within 14 days you or your attorney must:

File with the court a written request for a hearing {or if the court requires a written response, an answer explaining your position} at:

Attn: Bankruptcy Court Clerk United States Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of Michigan 211 West Fort Street Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the court for filing, you must mail it early enough so the court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above.

You must also mail a copy to:

Dennis Taubitz 3051 Lindenwood Drive Dearborn, MI 48120 Heather Lennox Bruce Bennett Jones Day 555 South Flower Street - Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Sam J. Alberts Dentons US LLP 1301 K Street NW Suite 600 East Tower Washington DC 20005

Attend the hearing scheduled to be held on the date and time and Courtroom set by this court, United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 211 West Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226.

If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the relief sought in the motion and may enter an order granting that relief.

Signature: <u>Lem</u> <u>Jan</u>
Name: Dennis Taubitz Date: 109 18, 2010

Address: 3051 Lindenwood Drive, Dearborn, MI 48120

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

SOUTHERN DIVISION 2014 AUG IN RE: J.S. BANK E.O. MICH CASE NO: 13-53846 CHAPTER: 9 JUDGE: HON Steven W. Rhodes City of Detroved Debtor. PROOF OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on Aug 18, 2014 __(date of mailing), I served copies as follows: 1) Motion to Allow Participation at Trial regarding confirmation of Debtor's plan of Advistment 21 VELAGES Ligh 1. Document(s) served: 31 Exhibit Ust A Proposed Order 51 Notice of Motion to Porticipate in the Confirmation Hearing 6) front of service 2. Served upon [name and address of each person served]: Sem alberts Healter leanst norther US LLP Bruce Bennett Dentons US LLP JONES DAY Floor ST 1301 K Street NW Suite 600 East Tower FIFIELD FLOW FR Washington DC 20005 LOS Angeles, III 3. By First Class Mail. Dated: AU9 18 2614 (Signature of Debter) Creditor Print Name: Dennis TAUbitz (Signature of Co-Debtor)

Print Name: